

Formula-Driven Rating Form (continued)

Max Points		School Construction A, B, F	Major Maintenance C, D, E
30	<p>9. Preventive Maintenance (Question 30)</p> <p>A. Maintenance Management Program</p> <p>1. Detailed summary reports of maintenance labor parameters 15 points</p> <p>2. Detailed summary reports of PM/corrective maintenance parameters 10 points</p> <p>3. The 5-year average expenditure for maintenance divided by the 5-year average insured replacement value, district wide. 5 points</p> <p>If % ≤ 4, then (% x 1.25)</p> <p>If % > 4, then 5</p>		
270	Total Points		

**Alaska Department of Education & Early Development
Capital Improvement Project Application
Evaluative Rating Form**

Adopted by the Bond Reimbursement and Grant Review Committee
April 20, 2012

School District _____
 School Name _____
 Project Title _____
 Fund _____ Category _____
 Phase _____ Maximum Points _____
 Rater _____ Date _____

Note: Points for elements two through eight will be weighted to apply to each specific category of a mixed-scope project.

Max Points		School Construction A, B, F	Major Maintenance C, D, E
25	1. Effectiveness of preventive maintenance program (Question 30) A. Maintenance Management Narrative = 5 points maximum B. Energy Management Narrative = 5 points maximum C. Custodial Narrative = 5 points maximum D. Maintenance Training Narrative = 5 points maximum E. Capital Planning Narrative = 5 points maximum		
50	2. Emergency (Question 14)		
50	3. Seriousness of life/safety and code conditions (Questions 14 & 17)		
40	4. Existing space fails to meet or inadequately serves existing or proposed elementary or secondary programs (Question 26) A. Mandated Program = 40 points maximum B. Local existing program = 20 points maximum C. New approved local program = 15 points maximum		
30	5. Reasonableness & completeness of cost or cost estimate (Question 18)		
30	6. Relationship of the project cost to the annual operational cost savings (Question 29)		
5	7. Thoroughness in considering use of alternative facilities to meet the needs of the project (Question 27)		
25	8. Thoroughness in considering a full range of options for the project (Question 28)		
255	Total Points		